IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 13TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 22ND BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 17139 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

S.A.HAMEED,
S/O.ABOOBACKAR HAJI, SUNNANKULAM, P.O.BEDRADKA,
KASARAGOD.

BY ADV. SRI.K.I.MAYANKUTTY MATHER

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
KASARAGODE.

2. THE TAHSILDAR,
KASARAGODE.

3. THE SECRETARY,
MOGRAL MUTHUR PANCHAYATH.

4. THE PORT CONSRVATOR,
PORT OFFICE, KASARAGODE.

BY ADV. SRI.P.K.MUHAMMED
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.M.R.SABU

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WPC NO.17139/07

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER BEFORE TAHSILDAR ALONG WITH THE CHALAN.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE LICENCE ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT IN RESPECT OF BOAT-KA -510.

EXT.P2(a): A TRUE COPY OF THE LICENCE ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT INR ESPECT OF BOAT - KA-511.

EXT.P3: A TRUE COPY FO THE COMMUNICATION ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT TO PETITIONER.

EXT.P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETIIONER BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT ALONG WITH THE REQUIRED CONSENT OF THE LAND OWNER.

EXT.P5: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER BEFORE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P6: A TRUE COPY OF THE REGISTERED LAWYER NOTICE ISSUED TO THE RESPONDENTS 1 AND 3.

EXT.P7: A TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO PETITIONER.

EXT.P8: A TRUE COPY OF THE INFORMATION ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT.

EXT.P9: A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT.

EXT.P10: A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P11: A TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION SEND BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

//TRUE COPY//

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 17139 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 13th day of September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The prayer in this writ petition is to direct the 3rd respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P10 application made by the petitioner. During the pendency of this writ petition, petitioner has also received a communication from the 1st respondent that the 3rd respondent is the competent authority to consider the request. Now that the petitioner has submitted Ext.P10 request before the 3rd respondent, which is seen acknowledged by him with an endorsement on 21/5/07, I dispose of this writ petition directing the 3rd respondent to pass orders on Ext.P10, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within four weeks of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

2. Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the 3rd respondent for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp